

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 ***

4 RAYMOND PADILLA,

5 Plaintiff(s),

6 v.

7 OFFICER R. KNICKERBOCKER AND
8 OFFICER D. REITZ,

9 Defendant(s).

2:20-cv-00066-KJD-VCF

ORDER

10 Before me are plaintiff's motion for leave to file supplemental complaint (ECF No. 40) and motion
11 for hearing on the motion for leave to file supplemental complaint (ECF No. 41).

12 The court ordered that any opposition to plaintiff's motion for leave to file supplemental complaint
13 be filed by May 16, 2022. (ECF No. 45)


14 Under LR 7-2(d), the failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any
15 motion, except a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 or a motion for attorney's fees, constitutes a consent to
16 the granting of the motion. To date, no opposition has been filed. It would appear that defendants have
17 consented to the granting of ECF No. 40.

18 Accordingly,

19 I ORDER that plaintiff's motion for leave to file supplemental complaint (ECF No. 40) is
20 GRANTED. The Clerk of Court is directed to file plaintiff's complaint (ECF NO. 40-1).

21 I FURTHER ORDER that motion for hearing on the motion for leave to file supplemental
22 complaint (ECF No. 41) is DENIED as MOOT.

23 DATED this 23rd day of May 2022.



24 CAM FERENBACH
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE